

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/613,166

Applicant(s)

KOLAWA ET AL

Examiner

ISAAC T. TECKLU

Art Unit

2192

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ISAAC T. TECKLU.

(3) _____

(2) Raymond R. Tabandeh, Registration No. 43,945.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-31 and 44-48.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jorapur (US 7,299,382 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner proposed an amendment to obviate a potential 101 issues and put the case in condition for allowance. The proposition was to incorporate claim 3 to each independent claims. Mr. Tabandeh accepted the proposition.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Isaac T Tecklu/
Examiner, Art Unit 2192